

Lecture 6

Wednesday, January 21, 2026

1:42 PM

Last time, we saw

1) Wiener Process for real:
the "unique" continuous-path process with independent increments
distributed as $W_t - W_s \sim \mathcal{N}(0, t-s), \forall t > s$.

2) the natural filtration: $\mathcal{F}_t^W \triangleq \sigma(\{W_s: 0 \leq s \leq t\})$.

3) Properties of W_t :

→ It "exists uniquely" (Donsker's theorem):

$$X_t(N) = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor Nt \rfloor} \frac{\xi_k}{\sqrt{N}}, \quad \{\xi_k\} \text{ i.i.d. zero-mean, unit variance, } t \in [0, 1].$$

$X_\bullet(N) \rightarrow W_\bullet$ "in law" meaning that

$\mathbb{E}(f(X_\bullet(N))) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(f(W_\bullet))$ bounded, continuous functionals f on $C([0, 1])$!

→ nowhere differentiable: $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial s} \mathbb{E}[W_t W_s] = \delta(t-s)$, δ -Dirac func.

→ is a $\mathcal{F}_t^W$ -Wiener process: $W_t \in \mathcal{F}_t^W$, and $W_t - W_s \perp \mathcal{F}_s^W$.

→ is a $\mathcal{F}_t^W$ -martingale: $W_t \in \mathcal{F}_t^W$, and $\mathbb{E}[W_t | \mathcal{F}_s^W] = W_s, \forall t > s$.

→ is a $\mathcal{F}_t^W$ -Markov process:

$$\mathbb{E}[f(W_t) | \mathcal{F}_s^W] = \mathbb{E}[f(W_t) | W_s], \quad \forall t > s, \forall f \text{ bounded and measurable}$$

* Note: If you wanna answer any question about W_t , it will be very useful to connect it to its increments $W_t - W_s$!

Exercise: show any process with independent increments is a Markov process. more precisely, consider $\{X_t: t \geq 0\}$

and $\mathcal{F}_t \triangleq \sigma(\{X_s: 0 \leq s \leq t\})$. If $X_t - X_s \perp \mathcal{F}_s$, then

X_t is a $\mathcal{F}_t$ -Markov process.

... process with independent, centered increments

Exercise: Show that any $\sim \dots$

is a martingale.

Exercise show that these are martingales: 1) $W_t^2 - t$, 2) $\exp(\theta W_t - \theta^2 t/2)$
 θ fixed.

The Itô Integral: $\{W_t, t \geq 0\}$ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}, \mathbb{P})$

Remember our goal is to make sense of the general SDE

$$dX_t = f(X_t, t) dt + \sigma(X_t, t) dW_t$$

$$\text{as } X_t = \int_0^t f(X_s, s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s, s) dW_s$$

so we need to define $\int_0^T \sigma(X_t, t) dW_t$!

Lets start slow and intuitively: ($T < \infty$)

1 - suppose $\sigma(w, t) = \sigma$ is constant, then

$$\int_0^T \sigma dW_t := \sigma (W_T - W_0) = \sigma W_T$$

2 - suppose $\sigma(w, t) = \sigma_t$ is a piece-wise constant on each $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$,

$$\text{then } \int_0^T \sigma_t dW_t = \sum_{t_i} \sigma(t_i) [W_{t_{i+1}} - W_{t_i}]$$

3 - suppose $\sigma(w, t) = \sigma(t)$ be any $\mathcal{B}([0, T])$ -measurable function, then

$$I(\sigma) \triangleq \int_0^T \sigma(t) dW_t := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(\sigma_n) \triangleq \sum_{t_i \in \pi_n} \sigma_{\pi_n}(t_i) [W_{t_{i+1}} - W_{t_i}]$$

where π_n is an increasingly finer seq. of partitions of $[0, T]$, i.e.,

$$\pi_n: 0 \leq t_1 < \dots < t_n = T \text{ and } \sup_{t_i \in \pi_n} |t_{i+1} - t_i| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

and σ_{π_n} is a seq. of simple functions converging to σ :

$$\sigma_{\pi_n}(t) = \sum_{t_i \in \pi_n} \sigma(t_i) \chi_{[t_i, t_{i+1})}(t) \rightarrow \sigma(t) \text{ (uniformly in } t)$$

$\sim \sigma(t, \tau)$ -measurable function, then

4 - Suppose $\sigma(w, t)$ is any $\mathbb{R} \times D(0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$I(\sigma)$ is defined similarly, w-by-w; more specifically,

$$I(\sigma)(w) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{t_i \in \pi_n} \sigma_{\pi_n}(w, t_i) [w_{t_{i+1}}(w) - w_{t_i}(w)]$$

where $\sigma_{\pi_n}(w, t) = \sum_{t_j \in \pi_n} \sigma(w, t_j) \chi_{[t_j, t_{j+1})}(t)$ called an elementary seq.

Question: does this converge $I(\sigma_n) \rightarrow I(\sigma)$? in what sense!

1) The convergence in usual (Lebesgue-Stieltjes) sense cannot happen! because showing that $I(\sigma_n) \rightarrow I(\sigma)$ uniformly is equivalent to showing that $I(\sigma_n)$ is a Cauchy seq:

$$\begin{aligned} |I(\sigma_n) - I(\sigma_m)| &= \left| \sum_i [\sigma_{\pi_n}(t_i) - \sigma_{\pi_m}(t_i)] [w_{t_{i+1}} - w_{t_i}] \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\sigma_{\pi_n}(t) - \sigma_{\pi_m}(t)| \cdot \underbrace{\sup_{\pi \in \Pi_{[0, T]}} \sum_i |w_{t_{i+1}} - w_{t_i}|}_{\text{total variation } =: TV(w_\bullet, 0, T)} \end{aligned}$$

set of finite partitions of $(0, T)$.

$$= TV(w_\bullet, 0, T) \cdot \|\sigma_{\pi_n} - \sigma_{\pi_m}\|_\infty$$

now, if $TV(w_\bullet, 0, T) < \infty$ then $\sigma_{\pi_n} \rightarrow \sigma$ uniformly implies that $\{I(\sigma_n)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence of $\mathbb{R}$ and that $I(\sigma_n) \rightarrow I(\sigma)$.

But Lemma: $TV(w_\bullet, 0, T) = \infty$ with prob. 1.

This means that $w_\bullet$ has infinite variations and thus $I_n(\sigma)$ may not converge even if σ_{π_n} converges uniformly!

A Bad but Insightful Example:

Define $h_n(t_i) = \text{sign}(w_{t_{i+1}} - w_{t_i})$ for $t_i \in \pi_n$ where for

$\dots \{\pi_n\}$: $\sum |w_{t_{i+1}} - w_{t_i}| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

the seq. (h_n) $\leftarrow$ $t_i \in \mathbb{T}_n$

Ex: show that $I(h_n) \rightarrow \infty !!$

Now, define the seq. of simple functions $\sigma_n \triangleq [I(h_n)]^{-1/2} \cdot h_n$

Then, $I(\sigma_n) = [I(h_n)]^{1/2} \rightarrow \infty$ as $I(h_n) \rightarrow \infty$

but $\sigma'_n \rightarrow 0$ uniformly (bc $\sup_t |\sigma'_n(t)| = I(h_n)^{-1/2}$) !!

Conclusion: This bad example in defining $h_n(t_i)$ uses

(I) future information at time t_i , and

(II) looks for convergence uniformly in t .

Resolving these issues for defining $I(\sigma)$:

(I) $\rightarrow$ The first one is easy; we just require

$$\sigma'_t \in \mathcal{F}_t, \forall t$$

(II) $\rightarrow$ For the second, we look for convergence in L_2 because

Lemma 1: W_t has finite Quadratic variance on $[0, T]$; i.e.

$$\sum_{t_i \in \mathbb{T}_n} (W_{t_{i+1}} - W_{t_i})^2 \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} T \text{ in } L^2$$

Proof: $\mathbb{E} \sum_{t_i \in \mathbb{T}_n} (W_{t_{i+1}} - W_{t_i})^2 = \sum_{t_i \in \mathbb{T}_n} (t_{i+1} - t_i) = T - 0 = T.$

now, define the centered r.v. $Z_i = (W_{t_{i+1}} - W_{t_i})^2 - (t_{i+1} - t_i)$ for $t_i \in \mathbb{T}_n$

s.t. $Z_i \perp Z_j, i \neq j$. and $Z_i \stackrel{\text{in law}}{=} (\xi^2 - 1)(t_{i+1} - t_i), \xi \sim N(0,1)$

so now

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Now, } E \left[\left(\sum_{t_i} (w_{t_{i+1}} - w_{t_i})^2 - T \right)^2 \right] &= E \left[\sum_{t_i \in \pi_n} (z_i)^2 \right] \\
 &= E \left[(\xi^2 - 1)^2 \right] \sum_{t_i \in \pi_n} (t_{i+1} - t_i)^2 \\
 &= E[(\xi^2 - 1)^2] \cdot T \cdot \sup_{t_i \in \pi_n} |t_{i+1} - t_i| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty
 \end{aligned}$$

for any increasingly finer seq of partitions $\{\pi_n\}$. $\square$

Lemma 2: If $\varphi(\omega, t)$ is bounded and elementary; i.e.

$$\varphi(\omega, t) = \sum_{i \in \pi_n} e_i(\omega) \cdot \chi_{[t_i, t_{i+1})}(t), \text{ then}$$

Ito isometry

$$E \left[\left(\int_0^T \varphi_t dW_t \right)^2 \right] = E \left[\int_0^T \varphi_t^2 dt \right]$$

Proof: set $\Delta W_j = w_{t_{j+1}} - w_{t_j}$, and compute

$$E[e_i e_j \Delta W_i \Delta W_j] = \begin{cases} E[e_i^2] \cdot (t_{i+1} - t_i) & \text{if } i=j \\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

$\sim \Delta W_i \perp \Delta W_j$

$$\text{So LHS} = \sum_{i,j} E[e_i e_j \Delta W_i \Delta W_j] = \sum_i E[e_i^2] (t_{i+1} - t_i) = \text{RHS.} \quad \square$$